EURAD-2 WP description Template #2

Please see Instructions for Work Package Preparation Team, public document for guidance (available on EURAD and PREDIS websites)

By submitting this proposal, you agree to the collection, storage and protection of your personal data submitted through this form. Information collected on this form is used solely for the purpose of preparing the EURAD-2 Programme. Information provided will only be accessible on a need-to-know basis. You can access your personal data, rectify it, request its deletion or exercise your right to limit the processing of your data at the following address dpd@andra.fr.

Short Acronym and full Title	SHIRE - Safe Handling of IRradiated graphitE		
Type of activity	□R&D	⊠ Strategic Study	Knowledge Management – covered by a separate committee and template
Budget estimation (total budget in M€, i.e ~ 1.5 M€)	1 M€	Duration of the WP (in months)	24
Links with EURAD SRA / Roadmap Themes (if multiple choices, indicate the primary link in bold – maximum 3)	 Programme Management (Theme 1) Pre-disposal (Theme 2) Engineered Barrier Systems (Theme 3) Geoscience (Theme 4) Disposal facility design and optimisation (Theme 5) Siting and Licensing (Theme 6) Safety Case (Theme 7) 		
Links with EURAD SRA topics (if multiple choices, indicate the primary link in bold – maximum 3)	 1.5.1 Integrated waste management routes and strategic options 2.1.2 Waste Acceptance Criteria 2.1.3 Technology selection 		
SRA drivers (maximum 3)	⊠Implementation Safety	□Tailored Solutions	Scientific Insight
	⊠Innovation for Optimisation	□Societal Engagement	□Knowledge Management
Objective (What) – 1 sentence	State of the art and gap analysis of non-conditioned irradiated graphite (i- graphite) storage and characterization, treatment, conditioning, and monitoring technologies for optimising the pre-disposal management and disposal of i-graphite.		

	In the last decades, several projects have focused on i-graphite and useful data		
Justification:	have been obtained. Still, there is need for summarizing the outcomes of such		
innovation /	cutting-edge research activities to implement feasible waste routes for the		
added-value	disposal of i-graphite and tackle legacy waste accumulation.		
(Why) – bullet	llet		
points or short	 Large amounts of i-graphite waste have been generated worldwide, 		
(maximum	which need to be properly managed.		
quarter of a	 The storage conditions and monitoring technologies for non- 		
page)	conditioned i-graphite should be analyzed to define an acceptable		
	framework for maximizing safety.		
	• The technical basis of the WAC for storage and disposal of		
	untreated/treated i-graphite should be defined.		
	 Need for package monitoring and characterization, specifically 		
	concerning Difficult To Measure radionuclides, by developing more		
	straightforward Destructive Analysis (DA), in combination with Non		
	Destructive Analysis (NDA) and theoretical activation models.		
	Identify the gaps in physico-chemical-mechanical-radiological		
	characterization (and corresponding NDA) to allow correct		
	segregation of real i-graphite.		
	 Identify the TRL and potential of current and emerging treatment and 		
	 Identify the TKL and potential of current and enlerging treatment and conditioning methods, such as geopolymers and magnesium 		
	nhosphate cements, to mitigate challenging properties of the waste		
	and simplify subsequent disposal operations		
	 Evaluation of the existing knowledge and corresponding gaps and 		
	opportunities related to i-graphite arisings from High Temperature		
	Gas-Cooled Peactors		
List of planned	Task 1: Management/coordination of the WP, 5%		
tasks / subtasks with % of effort per task (5% increments) (Maximum 10 bullets)	 Task 2: Knowledge Management (incl. training materials development and State-of-the-Art of i-graphite management), 10% 		
	 T2.1. Compilation of main outcomes from previous EU/IAEA projects of i-graphite. 		
	 T2.2. Socio-technical issues (Interaction with Civil Society). 		
	Task 3: Storage of non-conditioned i-graphite, 20%		
	 T3.1. Conditions and monitoring technologies for storage of non-conditioning i-graphite. 		
	 T3.2. Characterization of non-conditioned i-graphite. 		
	 Task 4: Options for i-graphite management: Treatment, Conditioning, Recycle, Transport, Storage, Disposal and Recycle, 40% 		
	 T4.1. Analysis of general WAC for treatment, transport, storage and disposal for various i-graphite streams. 		

	 T4.3. Characterization needs for various stages of i-graphite management. 		
	• T5: Study synthesis and definition of future R&D on i-graphite, 25%		
	 T5.1. GAP Analysis and needs synthesis. 		
	 T5.2. Definition of future R&D on i-graphite. 		
	 T5.3 Dissemination. 		
List of expected outcomes linked to the identified SRA drivers (Maximum 6 bullets)	 Approach to determine the conditions of safe storage of non-conditioned i-graphite, the need to its control and characterization Definition of WAC for storage and disposal of i-graphite, especially taking into account the content of volatile radionuclides and the stored Wigner energy. Gap analysis on physico-chemical-mechanical-radiological characterization, to improve the waste storage and disposal, also through treatment and conditioning, with special regard to volatile radionuclides speciation in different storage and disposal conditions. It includes the gap analysis on package monitoring and characterization, in order to support the assessment of post-closure safety, with special regard to the release of 14C. Gap analysis on options for treatment and decontamination methodologies applicable to the challenging i-graphite waste, to minimize radiological consequences and meet waste acceptance criteria. Gap analysis on conditioning matrices that could successfully stabilize i-graphite and avoid (or at least retard) the release of volatile and mobile radionuclides (3H, 14C, 36Cl, 129I). Systematized determination of problematic issues of management i-graphite at all stages, as well as identification of necessary R&D research 		
Deliverables	• D1 (T2) Green paper on SoTA of i-graphite management		
(Maximum 6 – including the prescribed deliverables)	 D2 (T3) Assessment of methods for characterization, monitoring and control of storage for non-conditioned i-graphite. 		
	 D3 (T4) General WAC for i-graphite and characterization needs. Management of i-graphite: Treatment, Conditioning, Recycle, Transport, Storage and Disposal. 		
	• D4 (T5) White paper on the GAP Analysis and needs for future R&D on i-graphite.		
Critical input requirements & identified risks	 Complementarity and interaction with WP2 and WP5 to avoid repetitions and overlapping. 		
	 Wide participation of organizations from different member states aims at investigating different possible waste routes and strategies, taking into account the different waste priority, waste inventory, technological and economic capacity of each member state 		

Major achievements expected by end of Year 2 (Go/No Assessment) ¹ (Maximum 5 bullets)	 Recommendations for storage conditions for non-conditioned i-graphite List of the most relevant radionuclides and physico-chemical-mechanical properties for the management of treated/untreated i-graphite. Compilation of various waste routes and strategies in each member state. Classification of typical i-graphite streams for selection of optimal treatment and conditioning for every of them. SoTA, gap analysis, and needs for i-graphite characterization SoTA, gap analysis, and needs on methodologies for treatment, decontamination, conditioning, storage and disposal of i-graphite 	
(Optional - Explain what is out of the scope?)	Decommissioning-related operations, such as dismantling and retrieval of i- graphite	
List of preliminary interested organisations as partners in the WP contributing effort; % of effort (person months, by College)	RES (40%): POLIMI (IT), IMT-Atlantique (FR), SCK-CEN (BE), ORANO (FR), CEA (FR), LEI (LT), RATEN (RO), NNL (UK), UOM (UK), ZEUS (DE), ENEA (IT), DTU (DK), FZJ (D), UNIPI (IT) TSOS (30%): CIEMAT (ES), VTT (FI), NCSRD (GR), NTW (FR), EIMV (SL), SSTC NRS (UA), FTMC (LT) WMOS (30%): ENRESA (ES), SOGIN (IT) ANDRA (FR), Nuclear Waste Services (UK)	
If applicable - links with previous projects / work packages	CAST, CARBOWASTE, INNO4GRAPH, EURAD-ROUTES, IAEA-GRAPA	
WP Preparation Team (1 member per College) contact (organisation + person, email)	RE: POLIMI, Eros Mossini, eros.mossini@polimi.it TSO: SSTC NRS, Mykola Sapon, mm_sapon@sstc.ua WMO: ENRESA, Jose Luis Leganés Nieto, jlen@enresa.es CG observer: VTT, Erika Holt, Erika.holt@vtt.fi	

¹ EC budget being only allocated for the first 2 years, each work package progress will be reviewed at the end of Year 2, to assess its continuation based on the total budget that EURAD-2 will be granted.